

REMARKS

Claims 1-11 are pending in the application. These claims were rejected as follows:

Claims / Section	35 U.S.C. Sec.	References / Notes
1	§102(b) Anticipation	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Anderson (U.S. Patent No. 5,721,783).
2-11	§103(a) Obviousness	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Anderson (U.S. Patent No. 5,721,783); and• Sano (U.S. Patent No. 6,828,868).

5 Applicants thank the Examiner for his courtesy in conducting a telephone interview on December 1, 2006, with the Applicants' representative and the constructive comments made therein.


Based on this discussion, Applicants have amended independent claim 1 in the application to include language that the Examiner indicated would serve to
10 distinguish over the current art of record. Applicants understand that this claim amendment requires an additional search and thus are filing this response in the form of an RCE.

As discussed during the Interview, Anderson uses an antenna 40 and separate oscillation circuits 41, 42, each having a specific coil (see Fig. 4), and
15 the coils are not used as an antenna. Therefore, we do not believe Anderson discloses claim 1, as amended.

For these reasons, Applicants assert that claims 1-11 of the present invention, with claim 1 as amended, are patentable over the art of record and request that the Examiner withdraw the existing 35 U.S.C. §102 & 103 rejections

from the application. Examination on the merits is respectfully requested in light of any new art located by the Examiner.

Respectfully submitted,

 (Reg. No. 45,877)
Mark Bergner
SCHIFF HARDIN, LLP
PATENT DEPARTMENT
6600 Sears Tower
Chicago, Illinois 60606-6473
(312) 258-5779
Attorney for Applicants
Customer Number 26574

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop RCE, Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on

December 29, 2006

